

Data Privacy

L01 - Data Privacy and Regulations



Icons from https://thenounproject.com/



 Wikipedia → "ability of an individual or group to seclude themselves or information about themselves, and thereby express themselves selectively"

 Merriam-Webster → "freedom from unauthorized intrusion", "the quality or state of being apart from company or observation"

Defining Privacy

- Private information sometimes equated to personal data / personally identifiable information (PII)
 - "(1) any *information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity*, such as name, social security number, date and place of birth, mother's maiden name, or biometric records;
 - (2) any other information that is linked or linkable to an individual, such as medical, educational, financial, and employment information." [1]

What should be considered private?

Privacy Principles

- Minimization: what you collect, who has access to it, and how long you keep it
- **Choice**: user's option to share information
- Access: user's right to review, correct and possibly delete the data you hold
- **Transparency**: disclosure of what, how and with whom data will be shared



Privacy vs Security

- While privacy and security go hand in hand, they are not the same concepts
- Security is about protecting both the information you choose to share and that you choose not to share from getting into the hands of others



Can you have security without privacy?

What about privacy without security?



Replying to @DuckDuckGo

Security without privacy is like a house made of bulletproof glass. No-one's getting inside but your personal life is still on display.

11:16 AM · Jul 26, 2017 · Twitter Web Client



Today's Privacy Challenge





DATA NEVER SLEEPS 1.0 VS. 10.0





66K



CONTENT SHARED





SHOPPERS SPEND \odot USERS UPLOAD \$443K 500 hours of video VIEWERS SPEND 1M hours STREAMING DOORDASH 104.6K hours DINERS PLACE SPENT IN ZOOM MEETINGS \$76.4K in orders

Source: https://www.domo.com/data-never-sleeps

		1	mage recognition	ı ———
#	Company	Face recognition	Environment recognition	Product recognition
1	🚹 Facebook	•	•	•
2	🞯 Instagram	•	•	•
3	🞦 Tinder	•	•	
4	📴 Grindr	•	•	
5	🔤 Uber	•		
6	🛃 TikTok	•	•	•
7	🙆 Strava			
8	Spotify			
9	🔀 Myfitnesspal			
10	🛯 Clubhouse	•		
11	ck Credit Karma			
12	💟 Twitter	•	•	
13	🙆 Airbnb	•	•	
14	S Lidl Plus			•
15	🔪 American Airlines			
16	🛶 eBay			•
17	Sleepcycle			
18	🖸 Paypal			
19	Slimming World			
20	🕒 Whatsapp			

What can companies tell from image recognition?

The personal data that recognition software helps companies collect from you



Facial recognition Recognises people and their key attributes



Background recognition Detects elements in shot, establishes environment

Object recognition Can identify an object or product within an image

#	Company	Face recognition	Environment recognition	Product recognition	Your contacts	Voice data/ recognition	Access to image library	Languages
1	😭 Facebook	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
2	🙆 Instagram	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
3	TikTok	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
4	💟 Twitter	•	•		•	•	•	•
5	🞦 Tinder	٠	•		•		•	•

Find the full report at clario.co/blog/which-company-uses-most-data



What can companies tell cognition?



How Companies Use Location Data

Here are just a few ways companies manipulate your location data.



Income level

Determine your approximate disposable income based on neighborhood demographic data.



School or workplace

Send restaurant push notifications right before your usual break times.



Current location

Send real-time notifications to prompt you toward nearby shops or restaurants.



Shopping habits Send coupons or promo codes for stores you frequent. cognition software lect from you

ognition

ts in shot.

ronment



Object recognition Can identify an object or product within an image

on	Your contacts	Voice data/ recognition	Access to image library	Languages	
	•	•	•	•	
	•	•	•	•	
	•	•	•	•	
	•	•	•	•	
	•		•	•	
-mo	st-data			clario	

The companies that know most about you in 2021

Ranking apps based on % of personal data collected

	0				/sex	status	1	is belief cation	address	ment status	La la	m	phone number device type		2			r s malden name it employers	ployers	scount details	profile (friends)	profile (hobies)	profile (interests)	y of birth s/intolerances	& lifestyle info	recognition (face) recognition (enviro	scognition (pro	s NEW ata/rec	s to image library	200
ŧ	Company	% of data collected	Email	Age	Gender	Marital	Race	Live loc	Home	Employn	Pet ow	olle	Home Phone/	Hobbie	Interes	Weight	Next of	Curren	, e	Bank a	Social	Social	Social	Countr	Health	Image r Image r	Image r	Contac Voice o	Access	0

s) NEW

1	-	Face	book	79.49%	• •					• •		•	• •		•	•	•		•	• •				٠		•		• •	• •		
2		Inst	agram	69.23%	• •		•		A	• •		•	• •		•	•	•	• •		•	•	3		•		•	• •		• •		
3		C) Tind	er	61.54%	• •			•		•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•						•		•	•	•	•		
4		😈 Grin	dr	58.97%	• •		•	•		•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•						•		•	•	•	•		
5		Ube	r	56.41%	• •					• •		•			•	•	•			•		đ	• •	•		•		٠	•		
6	^	TikT	ok	46.15%	• •									•	•	•	•				•	1	• •	•		•	• •	• •	• •	•	
7		🎒 Stra	va	43.59%	• •					• •				•	•			• •			•	3	• •	•				٠	•	•	
8		E Tesc	0	35.90%	• •	• •		•		•			•	• •	•	•					•		• •	•							
9		Spot	tify	35.90%	• •	• •				•				• •	•		•						• •	•					•	•	
10		🔀 Myfi	itnesspal	35.90%	• •					• •				•	•			• •			٠				٠			٠	•		
11	NEW	🚰 Club	house	33.33%	• •	•							•	•	•	•	•						• •	•		•		٠	•	•	
12		🗾 Jet2	1	33.33%	• •	• •		• •	•	•	•			•	•							٠			•					•	
13		ck Crea	lit Karma	33.33%	• •	•				•		٠		•	•		1	• •			٠	•							•	•	
14	^	💟 Twit	ter	33.33%	• •	• •	1			•					•								•			•	•	٠	• •	•	
15	^	🙆 Airb	nb	33.33%	• •		•			•			• •	•	٠						•					•	•		•	• •	
16		😫 Lidl	Plus	33.33%	• •	•				• •			• •	• •	•						•				٠		•	•		•	
17	^	🔪 Ame	rican Airlines	30.77%	• •	•				••				• •	•						•				•				•	•	
18	^	🖦 eBay	/	28.21%	• •	•				•	•		•	• •	•						٠							•	•	•	
19		Netf	lix	25.64%	• •	•				•				• •	•		•				٠								•	•	
20		Mt Nike		25.64%														• •													

...but, only algorithms access the data... Always?

Ξ



...but, only algorithms access the data... Always? ...and they don't share data... Never?

 \times

TG,

Amazon says government demands for user data spiked by 800% in 2020

Zack Whittaker

@zackwhittaker / 10:18 AM EST • February 1, 2021

Comment

THEVERGE TECH - REVIEWS - SCIENCE - ENTERTAINMENT - MORE = f 🎽 🔊 🙎

GOOGLE 🔪 POLICY 🔪 US & WORLD 🔪

Google reportedly gave some users' data to Hong Kong authorities in 2020

The company said last year it would stop responding to such requests By Kim Lyons | @SocialKimLy | Sep 11, 2021, 4:46pm EDT

The New York Times

Cambridge Analytica and Facebook: The Scandal and the Fallout So Far

Revelations that digital consultants to the Trump campaign misused the data of millions of Facebook users set off a furor on both sides of the Atlantic. This is how The Times covered it. ...but, only algorithms access the data... Always? ...and they don't share data... Never? ...but they anonymize data before sharing! Yes (maybe if it's not a breach)...

Is anonymization enough?

Name	Age	Condition
John Doe	59	Cancer
Mary Smith	78	Covid-19

Case Study: The Netflix Prize



- 2007 -- Netflix competition to create the best collaborative filtering algorithm to predict what rating a user would give to a movie based on their previous ratings
- Netflix Prize had a huge positive impact in the research area on recommendations

Case Study: The Netflix Prize

- Dataset: Ratings of almost 500K customers (more than 100M ratings in total)
- Netflix **anonymized** the dataset
 - From their FAQ "[...] all customer identifying information has been removed; all that remains are ratings and dates."

What could go wrong?

Case Study: The Netflix Prize

- Researchers from UT at Austin presented a statistical de-anonymization attack using IMDB as background knowledge [1]
- Able to **identify individual users** and determine potentially sensitive information (political views, religious views, or sexual orientation).
- Four Netflix users filled a class action lawsuit.
 - Netflix ended up reaching a settlement with the plaintiffs in 2010.
- Cancellation of projected sequel to the Netflix Prize

Case Study: AOL Search Data [1]

- Dataset: 20M search queries for 650K users from 2006
- Why released: allow researchers to understand search patterns
- How anonymized: user identifiers removed
 - All searches from same user linked by an arbitrary identifier
- Attacks: many successful attacks identified individual users
 - Ego-surfers: people typed in their own names
 - Zip codes and town names identify an area
 - NY Times identified 4417749 as 62yr old GA widow [2]
- Consequences: CTO resigned, two researchers fired
 - Well-intentioned effort failed due to inadequate anonymization



[1] Slide extracted from Cormode, G., & Srivastava, D. (2009, June). Anonymized data: generation, models, usage. SIGMOD (pp. 1015-1018). [2] https://www.nytimes.com/2006/08/09/technology/09aol.html

Data Privacy Regulations



A Long Time Ago

- The history of privacy rights and regulations starts long before the era of the Internet and Smartphones.
- The Supreme Court has found that the U.S. Constitution (1789) does provide for a right to privacy in its First, Third, Fourth, and Fifth amendments [1]
- 1890, Warren and Brandeis articulated the Right to Privacy [2] ("right to be let alone"): newspapers are the primary sources of "the unwarranted invasion of individual privacy" and urged that he courts "protect the privacy of private life."

[1] <u>http://law2.umkc.edu/faculty/projects/ftrials/conlaw/rightofprivacy.html</u>

[2] L. Brandeis and S. Warren. The right to privacy. Harvard law review, 4(5), 1890.



"[the Constitution] conferred, as against the Government, the right to be let alone - the most comprehensive of rights and the right most valued by civilized men." Justice Brandeis, dissenting in Olmstead v. US (1928)

Data Protection Regulations

- Technological advances (e.g., computers) enabled governments and corporations to capture and retain large amounts of individuals data since the 1950s.
- Data protection/privacy regulations have been enacted.
- Federal state of Hesse (Germany) passed the first data protection law in the world in 1970 [1].

Regulations Today (January 2025)

 Over 166 countries, self-governing jurisdictions, territories have adopted national laws and almost 30 countries and jurisdictions have pending bills or initiatives [1]

20 states: California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,* Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Oregon, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Virginia



[1] National Comprehensive Data Protection/Privacy Laws and Bills 2024, D Banisar - Privacy Laws and Bills, 2025

Regulations Today (January 2024)

 Over 160 countries, self-governing jurisdictions, territories have adopted national laws and almost 30 countries and jurisdictions have pending bills or initiatives [1].



[1] National Comprehensive Data Protection/Privacy Laws and Bills 2024, D Banisar - Privacy Laws and Bills, 2024

Regulations Today (January 2023)

 Over 150 countries, self-governing jurisdictions, territories have adopted national laws and almost 30 countries and jurisdictions have pending bills or initiatives [1]



[1] National Comprehensive Data Protection/Privacy Laws and Bills 2023, D Banisar - Privacy Laws and Bills, 2023

Regulations (August 2021)

 Over 140 countries, self-governing jurisdictions, territories have adopted national laws and almost 30 countries and jurisdictions have pending bills or initiatives [1]



[1] National Comprehensive Data Protection/Privacy Laws and Bills 2021, D Banisar - Privacy Laws and Bills, 2021

US State Privacy Legislation Tracker 2024

Statute/bill in legislative process





European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)



Slides from Martin Degeling

GDPR

- In effect starting May 25th, 2018
- Unified data protection rules in all 27 member states of the European Union
- Replaced previous Data Protection Directive
 - Unlike Data Protection Directive, GDPR is a law (i.e., other, national laws are not required)
- Is applicable to all services offered within the EU (regardless of where the company is located)

New and Expanded Rights

- Right to be informed
- Right of access
- Right to rectification
- Right to erasure
- Right to restriction
- Right to data portability
- Right to object
- Right to prevent automated processing, including profiling

Data Protection by Default/Design

• Aka Privacy by design

"Taking into account the **state of the art**, [...] the controller shall [...] implement appropriate technical and organisational measures [...] to implement data-protection principles, such as data **minimisation**, in an effective manner [...]."

• Collecting data for unknown purposes is forbidden

Data Portability

"The data subject shall have the right to receive the personal data concerning him or her [...] in a structured, commonly used and machine-readable format"

• Right to export data and transfer it to another data controller

Right to be Forgotten

• Aka **Right to Erasure**

"The data subject shall have the right to obtain from the controller the erasure of personal data concerning him or her without undue delay and the controller shall have the obligation to erase personal data without undue delay"

• When purpose is fulfilled, consent is withdrawn, unless the controller demonstrates **compelling legitimate grounds** for the processing

Automatic Decision-Making

• "The data subject shall have the right **not to be subject to a decision based solely on automated processing**, including profiling, which produces legal effects concerning him or her or similarly significantly affects him or her."

- Need to identify cases to which this applies and make sure user intervention is possible
- Users have the right to challenge automatic decisions

Regulatory Measures

- High fines: up to 20,000,000 EUR or up to 4% of the annual worldwide turnover
- Data breaches must be reported within 72 hours, data subjects must be notified
- Need for data protection impact assessment

The biggest GDPR fines (2025)

- 1. Meta GDPR fine- €1.2 billion
- 2. Amazon GDPR fine €746 million
- 3. Meta GDPR fine €405 million
- 4. Meta GDPR fine €390 million
- 5. TikTok GDPR fine- €345 million
- 6. Linkedin GDPR fine €310 million
- 7. Uber GDPR fine €290 million
- 8. Meta GDPR fine €265 million
- 9. WhatsApp GDPR fine €225 million
- 10. Google LLC fine- €90 million

Country	Date of Decision	Fine [€]	Controller/ Processor	Quoted Art.	Туре	Source
SPAIN	2024-11-13	500	4T OCIO Y CAFÉ 2009	Art. 6 GDPR	Insufficient legal basis for data processing	<u>link link</u>
SPAIN	2024-10-31	900	RIVENDELL TECHNOLOGY, S.L.	Art. 58 (2) GDPR	Insufficient cooperation with supervisory authority	link
SPAIN	2024-11-06	1,000	MINAS DE VALDECASTILLO, S.A	Art. 5 (1) c) GDPR, Art. 13 GDPR	Non- compliance with general data processing principles	link
SPAIN	2024-11-22	220,000	CARTONAJES BAÑERES, S.A	Art. 15 GDPR, Art. 35 GDPR	Insufficient technical and organisational measures to ensure information security	link
THE NETHERLANDS	2024-12-23	40,000	Coolblue B.V	Art. 5 (1) a) GDPR, Art. 6 (1) GDPR	Insufficient legal basis for data processing	link link
SPAIN	2024-09-26	1,300,000	TELEFÓNICA DE ESPAÑA SAU	Art. 5 (1) f) GDPR, Art. 5 (1) c) GDPR	Non- compliance with general data processing principles	link
THE NETHERLANDS	2024-11-26	4,750,000	Netflix International B.V.	Art. 5 (1) a) GDPR, Art. 12 (1) GDPR, Art. 13 (1) c), e), f) GDPR, Art. 13 (2) GDPR, Art. 15 (1)	Insufficient fulfilment of information obligations Home Licens	link link e Privacy Impr

Discussion

Do we need Data Privacy Regulations? Are DPRs enough? Can we make sure that DPRs are updated? How do we implement DPRs?