




Data Privacy

CMSC 491/691

L05 – Access Control



Previously on...

- Usable Privacy → HCI is critical for privacy
- Informed Consent
 - P3P
 - Automated Analysis of Privacy Policies

NHS Scotland's Covid Status app criticised over privacy failings

In the news!

Access Control

“selective **restriction of access** to a place or other resource”

Physical Security




Computer Security



Components

- **Access control policy**
 - Specifies the authorized accesses of a system

- **Access control mechanism**
 - Implements and enforces the policy



Entities

- **Subjects**

- Entity that can access objects



- **Objects**

- access controlled resource
 - Files, records, directories, etc.



- **Access right**

- Way in which subject accesses object
 - Read, write, execute, delete, create, search, etc.



Access Control Matrix

Objects

Subjects

	A	B	C	D
Alice	<i>R</i>	<i>R/W</i>	<i>R</i>	-
Bob	<i>R</i>	<i>R</i>	-	<i>R/W</i>
Charlie	-	-	<i>W</i>	-
Dave	-	-	<i>R/W</i>	<i>R</i>





Grouping

System Files

User Files

A**B****C****D****Staff****Alice***R**R/W**R**-***Bob***R**R**-**R/W***Students****Charlie***-**-**W**-***Dave***-**-**R/W**R*

Access-Control List (ACL)



- Convenient wrt objects
- Difficult to determine all objects a subject can access

Real world example?

unix permissions

There are 3 things you can do to a file

↓ read ↓ write ↓ execute

ls -l file.txt shows you permissions
Here's how to interpret the output:

```

rw-  rw-  r--  bork staff
  ↑    ↑    ↑
bork (user) staff (group) ANYONE
  can  can  can
read & write read & write  read

```

File permissions are 12 bits

```

setuid  setgid
  ↓     ↓
000    110  110  100
↑
sticky  rwx  rwx  rwx

```

For the r/w/x bits:

1 means "allowed"

0 means "not allowed"

110 in binary is 6

```

So rw-  r--  r--
  = 110  100  100
  = 6    4    4

```

chmod 644 file.txt
means change the permissions to:

```

rw-  r--  r--
simple!

```

setuid affects executables

```


$ls -l /bin/ping
-rws r-x r-x root root
  ↑
this means ping always
runs as root

```

setgid does 3 different unrelated things for executables, directories, and regular files




Capability-Based




- Convenient wrt subjects
- Difficult to determine all subjects that can access object

Access Control Policy Models

- **Attribute-based Access Control (ABAC)**
- **Discretionary Access Control (DAC)**
- Graph-based Access Control (GBAC)
- History-Based Access Control (HBAC)
- History-of-Presence Based Access Control (HPBAC)
- Identity-Based Access Control (IBAC)
- Lattice-Based Access Control (LBAC)
- **Mandatory Access Control (MAC)**
- Organization-Based Access control (OrBAC)
- **Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)**
- Rule-Based Access Control (RAC)
- Responsibility Based Access control
- ...



Databases 101




```
SELECT E.Name, E.Salary
FROM Employee E, Project P, Assignments A
WHERE P.Name="Project1" AND P.Id=A.Project AND E.Team=A.T
```

Mandatory Access Control (MAC)

- Provide users with access **based on data confidentiality and user clearance levels**
- Access is granted on a **need-to-know** basis
- Policies **defined by administrators** not by users
- Based on **multilevel security** (MLS)
 - Top secret > secret > confidential > restricted > unclassified

MAC: Properties

- Two required properties for confidentiality:
 - **No read up**
 - Subject can only read an object of less or equal security level
 - **No write down**
 - Subject can only write into object of greater or equal security level



MAC: Mechanism

Project

Id	Name	Budget
1	Project1	\$1M
2	Project2	\$250K

Project-MAC

Id	$\lambda(Id)$	Name	$\lambda(Name)$	Budget	$\lambda(Budget)$
----	---------------	------	-----------------	--------	-------------------

Top-Secret User



Id	$\lambda(Id)$	Name	$\lambda(Name)$	Budget	$\lambda(Budget)$
1	S	Project1	S	\$1M	TS
2	S	Project2	S	\$250K	TS

Secret User




Id	$\lambda(Id)$	Name	$\lambda(Name)$	Budget	$\lambda(Budget)$
1	S	Project1	S	null	S
2	S	Project2	S	null	S

MAC: Pros and Cons

- **Pros**
 - Increased security & confidentiality/privacy protection
- **Cons**
 - Difficult to implement granularity
 - Difficult to maintain

Discretionary Access Control (DAC)

- For **each subject** access right to the objects are defined
 - (subject, object, +/- access mode)
 - (Mary, Project, read)
- **Owner decides access**
- Mechanisms:
 - Grant & Revoke
 - Views
 - Query Modification



DAC: Grant and Revoke

GRANT <privilege> **ON** <relation> **TO** <user>

- GRANT SELECT * ON Project TO Mary
- GRANT SELECT(Salary) ON Employee TO John

REVOKE <privileges> [**ON** <relation>] **FROM** <user>

- REVOKE SELECT * ON Project FROM John
- REVOKE SELECT(Salary) ON Employee FROM Mary

DAC: Views

```
CREATE VIEW Small-Projects  
AS SELECT Id, Name, Budget  
FROM Project  
WHERE Budget < $500K
```

Assign rights to access a limited part of the data

DAC: Query Modification

- Limit the queries a user can pose by rewriting them
- John → **SELECT * FROM Projects**
- Modified query → **SELECT * FROM Projects WHERE Budget < \$500K**


DAC: Pros and cons

- **Pros**

- User-friendly
- Flexible
- Easy to implement
- Granular (up to some extent...)

- **Cons**

- Lower level of data protection
- Difficult to maintain



Group Activity

- Choose a service (e.g., your project or a Web service / App)
- Think of examples of
 - Mandatory Access Control (MAC) policies
 - Discretionary Access Control (DAC) policies
- Is there anything you want to define that is not possible with MAC or DAC?

Previously on...


- Access Control
 - Physical vs Computer Security
- Mandatory Access Control (MAC) vs. Discretionary Access Control (DAC)

Apple updated AirTags to fend off unwanted tracking after people said they were being stalked with the devices


Sarah Jackson Feb 10, 2022, 4:39 PM



In the news!



Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)




- **Access determined by roles**
 - Individuals change frequently but roles not that much!

RBAC: How does it work?

- Administrator defines roles
 - *E.g., RA, TA, grader, instructor, professor, etc.*
- Security administrator define RBAC policies for different roles
 - *E.g., (grader, homework, read), (TA, grade, read), (instructor, grade, write), ...*
- Administrator assign roles to individuals
 - *E.g., Roberto → instructor, professor ; Mary → TA ; John → grader*


RBAC: is it MAC / DAC / Neither?

- **RBAC is policy neutral**
 - Both MAC and DAC can be implemented with RBAC



*Hierarchy of roles:
Upper roles have
access rights of lower
roles*

RBAC: Challenges



- What if I want to define different access for my TA?
 - New role: TA_DataPrivacy!
 - Role explosion! TA_DataPrivacy, TA_CMSC331, TA_CMSC331_Fall....?
- What if I want to define access based on context?
 - New role: TA_DataPrivacy_Grading !?

Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC)

- Define authorizations that express **conditions on properties of both the resource and the subject**
 - Each resource has an attribute (e.g., the subject that created it)
 - A single rule states ownership privileges for the creators
- Increased **flexibility** and **expressivity** power

ABAC: Attributes

- **Subject**

- Define identity and characteristics
- E.g., name, organization, job title, etc.



- **Object**

- Define the characteristics of the resource
- E.g., title, author, date




- **Environment attributes**

- Describe the operational, technical, and even situational environment or context in which the information access occurs
- E.g., current date, network security level
- **Not associated with a resource or subject**



ABAC: How does it work?

1. Subject requests access to Object
2. AC governed by policies: assesses the attr of subject, object, and env
3. AC grants subject access to object if authorized



Other Access Control Policy Models

Graph-based Access Control (GBAC)

History-Based Access Control (HBAC)

History-of-Presence Based Access Control (HPBAC)

Identity-Based Access Control (IBAC)

Lattice-Based Access Control (LBAC)

Organization-Based Access control (OrBAC)

Rule-Based Access Control (RAC)

Responsibility Based Access control


...

AC: Open Challenges

- In addition to new models, **new AC mechanisms are required**
- **AC implementation** in new domains (IoT, Big Data, AI, Cloud computing, etc.) brings **new challenges**
 - Inference problem
 - Semantic gap
 - Scalability!
 - ...

AC: Open Challenge

- The **inference problem**
 - Can the subject gain access to the object even if we don't grant it?
 - Use other information to infer the protected object



I know that Dave is enrolled in the class
Dave got a C!

Name	Grade
John	A
Mary	A


AC: Open Challenge

- The **Semantic Gap** problem

“Do not **track** my **location**”

“Do not **share** my **social interactions** with applications”


People's world



SEMANTIC GAP



Devices' world



Internet of Things

```
2016-01-15 17:38:07.463623 | DISMAN-EVENT-
MIB::sysUpTimeInstance = Timeticks:
(167664600) 19 days, 9:44:06.00 SNMPv2-
MIB::snmpTrapOID.0 = OID: SNMPv2-
SMI::enterprises.14179.2.6.3.53 SNMPv2-
SMI::enterprises.14179.2.6.2.35.0 = Hex-
STRING: 00 19 A9 55 CE B0 NMPv2-
SMI::enterprises.14179.2.6.2.36.0 = INTEGER:
1 SNMPv2-SMI::enterprises.14179.2.6.2.43.0 =
IpAddress: 169.234.57.122
```



**What should
I protect?**



Summary

- Access Control restricts access to resources
- Useful to represent user privacy preferences
- Two parts:
 - AC Policy + AC Mechanism
- Plenty of AC models!
 - DAC / MAC, RBAC, ABAC, ...
- Open challenges for AC mechanisms

Group Activity

- Choose a service (e.g., your project or a Web service / App)
- Think of examples of Attribute-Based Access Control (ABAC) policies:
 - Defined by the administrator for employees
 - Defined by users of the service